Interactions between earthworm burrowing, growth of a leguminous shrub and nitrogen cycling in a former agricultural soil
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Attempts to restore native biodiversity into agricultural landscapes in New Zealand appear to be compromised both by soil nitrogen enrichment from farming and N-leakage to the wider environment. We investigated whether interactions between native earthworms and a native rhizobium-inoculated leguminous shrub (\textit{Sophora microphylla}) have a measurable effect on the mobility of nitrogen in an agricultural soil that has been nitrogen-enriched and colonised by exotic earthworms. Plants grew better in the presence of both native and exotic soil-burrowing earthworms. Rates of root nodulation were considerably enhanced in the presence of the native megascolecid anecic earthworm \textit{Maoridrilus transalpinus}. This species consumed more organic matter in the presence of inoculated plants whilst marginally lowering soil pH and enhancing critical concentrations of nitrate, but also reducing nitrous oxide emissions. Earthworms raised dehydrogenase enzyme activity and microbial activity in soil, but this was not commensurate with rates of nodulation. Our results show that some combination of earthworm-mediated soil aeration, modification of moisture conditions in the rhizosphere and drilosphere, and comminution of organic matter, modify microbial communities and significantly impact the N cycle.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

\textbf{1. Introduction}

Conservation of highly endemic flora and fauna in lowland New Zealand depends on our ability to construct novel native ecosystems on soils that have been profoundly modified from their natural state by agriculture. Ecological restoration attempts to achieve some meaningful semblance of an historic naturalistic vegetation on these soils, and to provide habitat for above- and below-ground faunal biodiversity (\textit{Tongway and Ludwig, 2011; Dickinson et al., 2015}). This may be reliant on an erroneous assumption of the suitability of modified soils to support the desired species (\textit{Smith et al., 2016}). Even if agricultural soils provide suitable habitat, little is known of how the soils may be further modified by native biota. However, it is essential that land management and conservation incorporate soil biodiversity as an important criterion to benefit ecosystem functioning, service provision and human health (\textit{Bardgett and Wardle, 2010}). This may be especially challenging in situations where land use changes have substantially modified soil structure (\textit{Franklin et al., 2015}).

Little is known of the requisite underlying environmental conditions to optimise the restoration trajectory within agricultural landscapes in New Zealand. This creates real challenges for restoration practitioners; re-introduction takes place in the presence of exotic weeds and animal pests, including mammals that were formerly absent from this landmass. The most troublesome invasive plants in New Zealand are often legumes, including gorse (\textit{Ulex europaeus}), European brooms and American lupins, although gorse often also plays an important role assisting the recovery of native vegetation on former stock-grazed pasture (\textit{Wilson, 2013}). Leguminosae are poorly represented amongst the native flora, both in number of species and abundance; 4 genera and 34 species represent 1.4% of the vascular flora (\textit{Given and Meurk, 2000}), compared to 8% worldwide (\textit{Yahara et al., 2013}) and native legumes compete poorly with introduced gorse and brooms, particularly in human-modified landscapes (\textit{Wardle, 2002}). One concern is the elucidation of the role played by native species of
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nitrogen-fixing plants in vegetation recovery. Among the New Zealand native Leguminosae, eight species of *Sophora* are shrubs or small trees (Heenan et al., 2001; Thomas and Spurway, 2001), which could have a particularly important role in global legume diversity assessment (Yahara et al., 2013).

Understanding the functionality, interactions and combined influence of native legumes and soil fauna on ecosystem development presents further challenges (Bardgett and Wardle, 2010; Blouin et al., 2013). In New Zealand, the role of native earthworms in particular requires more attention (Kim et al., 2015). There are more than 200 species of native Megascolecid earthworms in New Zealand (Lee, 1959a; Boyer et al., 2011) that are almost entirely unrepresented on agricultural land, even though several species of exotic Lumbricid earthworms are commonly found in farm paddocks (Lee, 1961; Fraser et al., 1996; Springett et al., 1998).

Agricultural landscape matrices in New Zealand are depauperate in native flora and fauna (Winterbourne et al., 2008) where remnants of natural and re-planted vegetation are only represented as little more than refugia in riparian zones, along fence lines and on the borders of agricultural land (Bowie et al., 2016). These natural remnants are now significantly expanding though renewed interest in native species and through modern intensive agricultural systems that are integrating restoration of biodiversity into farm planning (Dickinson et al., 2015; Franklin et al., 2015). The broad aim of our current research is to understand the interactions between native species of plants, soil fauna and soil physicochemistry. The objectives of the mesocosm experiment reported in this paper were to investigate whether we could demonstrate significant integration of the role of a native species of nitrogen-fixing plant and earthworms in the context of nitrogen cycling and soil quality.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Establishment of pot experiment

Surface soils (0–15 cm) were collected from the Lincoln University commercial dairy farm for use in this mesocosm experiment. The dairy farm soil (Templeton silty loam) is intensively managed, irrigated and fertilised, and is representative of intermediate terraces in the Province of Canterbury on South Island (Molloy, 1988). The soil was sieved and uniformly mixed prior to planting. Four species of earthworms representing different ecological groups and burrowing behaviours were selected for this study. Two native anecic species, *Maoridrilus transalpinus* and *Maoridrilus* sp.2 were collected respectively from a nature reserve (Ahuriri Reserve, Banks Peninsula) and beneath a mature stand of exotic Quercus ilex trees on the university campus. The earthworms were identified using DNA barcoding (16S and COI), which showed that *Maoridrilus* sp.2 has not been previously recorded and may be new to science (Kim, 2016). An exotic endogeic species (*Octolasion cyanenum*) was also sampled from the Ahuriri Reserve; we have observed that both *Maoridrilus* and *Octolasion* commonly coexist in Banks Peninsula forests. The exotic epigeic, *Eisenia fetida*, was purchased from a local vermicomposting company. One-year-old single plants of *Sophora microphylla* (Kowhai) of uniform size were purchased from a nursery, acclimated to glasshouse growth conditions for 4 weeks, then transplanted into the dairy farm soil in 55 plastic plant pots (5 liters volume), and maintained for a further 7 days before the addition of rhizobial and then earthworm inocula (Fig. 1).

Novel *Mesorhizobium* sp. cultures (Strain ICMP 19535; Tan et al., 2015) were obtained from the International Collection of Microorganisms from Plants at Landcare Research (Auckland, NZ) and incubated into Yeast Mannitol Broth (YMB) at 25 °C in the dark for a week to derive liquid cultures which were poured in 50 ml aliquots into 25 pots, on two occasions 7 days apart. This strain is known to be effective on *S. microphylla*. Autoclaved YMB was added to 5 reference pots in the same amounts and at the same time as a control. One week later, four adult earthworms of a single species were added to each pot, with 5 replicates, and an additional 5 pots without earthworms. Weight of all species of earthworm were recorded prior to inoculation. The drainage holes and upper surface of the pots were covered with gauze to prevent earthworms escaping during the experiment. Pots were randomized and maintained for 8 weeks in natural light at 20 ± 5 °C. There were 5 replicates of each treatment, providing a total of 45 pots (4 species of earthworms, with and without rhizobial inoculation, plus 5 pots with neither earthworms nor inocula). These mesocosms were lightly watered every 3 days for 8 weeks, adding the same volume of water to each pot to maintain likely optimal moisture conditions of 25–30% (Wever et al., 2001; Eriksen-Hamel and Whalen, 2006).

![Fig. 1. Establishment of *S. microphylla* mesocosms in the glasshouse. Photographs inset show the gauze covers (top inset) and gas sampling cylinders (bottom inset).](image-url)
2.2. Plant and soil analyses

Plant height was measured after 8 weeks, then plants were harvested and root nodules were counted by hand sorting after gently removing roots from the soil. Roots and shoots were separated and oven-dried (65 °C, 3 days) prior to measurement of dry weight. Earthworms were collected from each pot, then visually assessed for health and individually weighed.

About 1 kg of fresh soil was collected from each pot and stored at 4 °C for less than one week prior to soil analyses. Following extraction in 2 M KCl, samples of the fresh soil were analysed for N (NH₄ and NO₃) using a FIA star 5000 triple channel analyser (Foss Tecator AB, Sweden) (Clough et al., 2001). For soil dehydrogenase enzyme activity (DHA), 2 g of fresh soil was incubated with 2 ml of 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride (TTC) solution for 24 h at 25 °C in darkness. After extraction with 10 ml of methanol, the supernatant was measured at an absorption of 475 nm using a UV 160A spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan) (Casida et al., 1964). Air-dried soil samples (20 °C, 5 days) were sieved (< 2 mm) prior to determination of pH and electrical conductivity (EC). Following 0.5 M NaHCO₃ extraction (1 g soil: 20 ml extractant), plant available-P was measured as Olsen P, spectrophotoscopically at a wavelength of 880 nm using a UV 160A spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan) (Blake and Bradley, 1980). Following oven-drying (100 °C), Total Organic Matter (TOM) was analysed as Loss on Ignition (LOI) in a muffle furnace at 500 °C. Total N and C were analysed using a Vario-Max CN elemental analyser (Elementar GmbH, Germany).

2.3. Gas sampling

Gas measurement for nitrous oxide was carried out after 55 days. A plastic chamber (0.5 l) was installed on the soil surface. Gas (10 ml) was collected from the headspace, 0, 20, and 40 min after sealing. Gas samples were stored at 16 °C in darkness for less than one week. Nitrous oxide (N₂O) was analysed using a gas chromatograph (GC) (SRI 8610 GC, CA, USA) with a 63Ni electron capture detector (ECD) and a flame ionisation detector (FID) linked to an auto-sampler (Gilson 222 XL, USA). Methods follow those described by Clough et al. (2006).

2.4. Statistical analyses

All data analyses used Minitab 16 (Minitab Inc., State College, Pennsylvania, USA), with One- and Two-Way ANOVA and post-hoc Fisher’s LSD tests employed to identify differences between soil properties, plant growth, nodulation and N₂O emissions. Mean and standard error of soil pH values were calculated by conversion to the equivalent hydrogen ion concentrations and back calculation to the pH.

3. Results

3.1. Earthworms growth and mortality

After 8 weeks, mortality rates of earthworms were less than 10% for all species, except for *M. transalpinus* (60% mortality with rhizobial inoculation, 75% mortality without rhizobial inoculation). The amount of organic matter consumed was calculated crudely from the change in soil TOM over the duration of the experiment (Fig. 2). *M. transalpinus* consumed more organic matter than other species, compared to the control pots, despite poor survivorship and a 31% weight loss of surviving earthworms. There was less weight loss with rhizobial inoculation, where soil organic matter consumption was even higher (data not shown). An overall weight gain was recorded only for *Maoridrilus* sp.2. Differences were only small, but comminution of OM significantly differed in relation to earthworm species (*p < 0.001*), rhizobial inoculation (*p < 0.01*) with a significant interaction (*p < 0.001*).

3.2. Plant growth

Two-way ANOVAs showed that earthworm species, rhizobia treatment, and their interaction significantly impacted the shoot length (Table 1). Plants generally grew better in terms of shoot length the presence of earthworms over the 8-week period. Both

![Fig. 2. Consumption of OM by earthworms after 8 weeks. Asterisks indicate two-way ANOVA analysis showing the effect of earthworm species, rhizobial inoculation, and interaction (‘*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001’). Symbols refer to absence (−) or presence (+) of rhizobial inoculation. Bars are means ± standard error (n = 5). Same letters indicate no significant difference (LSD, p < 0.05). Shading indicates different ecological groups of earthworms: epigeic [■], anecic [□], and endogeic [□] and control without earthworms [□]. Dashed line indicates YMB addition without rhizobial inoculant.](image-url)
native earthworms (*Maoridrilus* spp.) significantly enhanced shoot length more than other treatments. There were no marked differences in shoot length and dry weight between earthworm species in the earthworm-rhizobia inoculation treatment. In the rhizobia-inoculation treatment, only *O. cyaneum* significantly increased shoot length more than in pots without earthworms.

### Table 1

Plant growth in the mesocosm experiment after 8 weeks. Values in brackets represent standard error of the mean (n = 5). Same letters indicate no significant difference (LSD, \( p < 0.05 \)). Two-way ANOVA analyses the effect of earthworm species, rhizobia addition, and their interaction are indicated with superscripts (ns for not significant, \(* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Shoot length (cm)</th>
<th>Total (g)</th>
<th>Shoot (g)</th>
<th>Root (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>No inoculant(^1)</td>
<td>50.4 (2.8)</td>
<td>10.0 (0.9)</td>
<td>7.8 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Rhizobia</td>
<td>No earthworm</td>
<td>50.4 (4.3)(^c)</td>
<td>10.0 (0.9)(^b)</td>
<td>7.6 (0.6)(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Eisenia fetida</em></td>
<td>61.8 (1.4)(^b)</td>
<td>12.0 (1.3)(^ab)</td>
<td>8.8 (0.8)(^bc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Maoridrilus transalpinus</em></td>
<td>73.4 (3.0)(^a)</td>
<td>14.8 (0.6)(^a)</td>
<td>11.3 (0.5)(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Maoridrilus sp.2</em></td>
<td>70.6 (1.5)(^a)</td>
<td>13.9 (1.8)(^a)</td>
<td>10.7 (1.3)(^ab)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>O. cyaneum</em></td>
<td>58.8 (2.8)(^b)</td>
<td>10.2 (0.6)(^b)</td>
<td>7.6 (0.5)(^f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhizobia</td>
<td>No earthworm</td>
<td>54.4 (4.1)(^b)</td>
<td>11.0 (1.9)(^a)</td>
<td>8.2 (1.4)(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Eisenia fetida</em></td>
<td>57.6 (1.5)(^ab)</td>
<td>9.6 (0.4)(^a)</td>
<td>6.8 (0.3)(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Maoridrilus transalpinus</em></td>
<td>57.6 (4.0)(^ab)</td>
<td>11.7 (1.4)(^a)</td>
<td>8.3 (1.1)(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Maoridrilus sp.2</em></td>
<td>60.4 (3.2)(^ab)</td>
<td>10.0 (0.7)(^a)</td>
<td>7.6 (0.5)(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>O. cyaneum</em></td>
<td>65.4 (1.5)(^a)</td>
<td>9.9 (0.8)(^a)</td>
<td>7.2 (0.6)(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-way ANOVA (p value)</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>0(^***)</td>
<td>0.057(^m)</td>
<td>0.036(^)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rhizobia</td>
<td>0.042(^*)</td>
<td>0.021(^)</td>
<td>0.006(^**)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>0.002(^***)</td>
<td>0.181(^m)</td>
<td>0.126(^m)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Yeast mannitol broth (YMB) addition without rhizobial inoculant.

---

**Fig. 3.** Root nodulation of *S. microphylla* after 8 weeks. Asterisks indicate Two-Way ANOVA analysis showing the effect of earthworm species, rhizobial inoculation, and interaction (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ns = not significant*). Symbols refer to absence (–) or presence (★) of rhizobial inoculation. Bars are means ± standard error (n = 5). Same letters indicate no significant difference (LSD, \( p < 0.05 \)). Shading indicates different ecological groups of earthworms: epigeic (■), anecic (■), endogeic (□) and control without earthworms (■). Photographs show the effects of *M. transalpinus* activity on nodulation, marked with yellow circles. Dashed line indicates YMB addition without rhizobial inoculant. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
Table 2
Effects of earthworms and rhizobia on soil properties after 8 weeks. Values in brackets represent standard error of the mean (n = 5). Same letters indicate no significant difference (LSD, p < 0.05). Results of two-way ANOVA analysis to determine the effect of earthworm species, rhizobia addition, and their interaction are indicated with superscripts (ns for not significant, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>EC (dS·m⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mobile N (mg·kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Olsen P (mg·kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Moisture content (%)</th>
<th>Total C (%)</th>
<th>Total N (%)</th>
<th>Ratio of C/N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>No inoculant¹</td>
<td>5.4 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.1)</td>
<td>19 (7)</td>
<td>29 (2)</td>
<td>26.3 (0.4)</td>
<td>2.41 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Rhizobia</td>
<td>No earthworm</td>
<td>5.3 (&lt;0.1)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.3)</td>
<td>29 (3)</td>
<td>30 (&lt;1)</td>
<td>27.6 (0.4)</td>
<td>2.50 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eisenia fetida</td>
<td>5.4 (&lt;0.1)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.1)</td>
<td>18 (5)</td>
<td>30 (1)</td>
<td>25.6 (0.3)</td>
<td>2.35 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maoridrilus transphilinus</td>
<td>5.1 (&lt;0.1)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.01)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>57 (3)</td>
<td>33 (1)</td>
<td>24.9 (0.3)</td>
<td>2.52 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maoridrilus sp.2</td>
<td>5.4 (&lt;0.1)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.1)</td>
<td>26 (4)</td>
<td>32 (1)</td>
<td>23.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>2.48 (0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Octolasion cyanenum</td>
<td>5.4 (&lt;0.1)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.1)</td>
<td>30 (3)</td>
<td>33 (1)</td>
<td>25.8 (0.4)</td>
<td>2.41 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhizobia</td>
<td>No earthworm</td>
<td>5.5 (&lt;0.1)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.2 (&lt;0.1)</td>
<td>14 (2)</td>
<td>30 (1)</td>
<td>26.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>2.42 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eisenia fetida</td>
<td>5.4 (&lt;0.1)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.1)</td>
<td>19 (4)</td>
<td>29 (1)</td>
<td>23.9 (0.5)</td>
<td>2.25 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maoridrilus transphilinus</td>
<td>5.3 (&lt;0.01)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.3)</td>
<td>30 (6)</td>
<td>30 (1)</td>
<td>24.9 (0.4)</td>
<td>2.33 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maoridrilus sp.2</td>
<td>5.4 (&lt;0.1)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.5 (&lt;0.1)</td>
<td>17 (3)</td>
<td>30 (1)</td>
<td>24.6 (0.5)</td>
<td>2.39 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Octolasion cyanenum</td>
<td>5.4 (&lt;0.1)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.1)</td>
<td>16 (2)</td>
<td>20 (1)</td>
<td>23.9 (0.5)</td>
<td>2.24 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two-way ANOVA (p value)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>RHIZOBIA</th>
<th>0***</th>
<th>0***</th>
<th>0.133**</th>
<th>0.123**</th>
<th>0.045+</th>
<th>0.017+</th>
<th>0.011**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhizobia</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
<td>0.003**</td>
<td>0.493**</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
<td>0.006**</td>
<td>0.379**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>0.132**</td>
<td>0.274**</td>
<td>0.679**</td>
<td>0.013*</td>
<td>0.272**</td>
<td>0.009**</td>
<td>0.873**</td>
<td>0.873**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Yeast mannitol broth (YMB) addition without rhizobial inoculant.

3.3 Root nodulation

Despite rhizobia inoculation having no effect on root nodulation, it was observed that plants inoculated with sp. 2 had more nodules compared to the control and other rhizobia treatments. The number of nodules increased in the presence of earthworms and the interaction between plant species and rhizobia inoculation was significant (Table 2). There was significant interaction of mobile nitrate between these two treatments (p < 0.05). In terms of increasing mobile nitrates, both treatments had the most significant effect on soil properties, marginally lowering soil pH, enhancing EC, and microbial activity.

3.4 Soil properties

The presence of the rhizobia significantly enhanced the release of nitrate from the soil (Fig. 5).

4. Discussion

4.1 Survival and behaviour of earthworms

M. transphilinus had higher mortality rates than the other species of earthworms after 8 weeks in the mesocosms. Several freshly-dead individuals of this species were found towards the end of the experiment. This could be due to the presence of more roots from Rhizosiphum, allowing the earthworms to burrow into the root system more easily. Despite their success in burrowing and maintaining their numbers, the earthworms were not able to completely mask the activity of the earthworms. Enhanced plant growth was observed to be related to the different ecological functions of the earthworms. Enhanced plant growth was observed to be related to the different ecological functions of the earthworms.
Poor survivorship of *M. transalpinus* was considered to be an acceptable limitation of this experiment; previous studies have shown that decaying earthworm bodies do not significantly contribute to the amount of N otherwise released into soil by earthworms (Whalen et al., 1999; van Groenigen et al., 2014). There were no reference pots without plants in the present study, although better growth of Lumbricid earthworms has been previously recorded in the presence of legumes (Milcu et al., 2008).

4.2. Effect on plant growth and nodulation

Whalen et al. (1999) found that, despite the relatively small amount of nitrogen, 70% of that released by decaying earthworms...
could be incorporated into plant shoots within 16 days. In the present study, it would seem highly likely that 75% mortality may explain better plant growth in the _M. transalpinus_ treatment without rhizobial inoculation. However, this simple explanation is contradicted by the absence of a plant growth response with rhizobial inoculation and 60% earthworm mortality. Rhizobial inoculation led to lower rates of shoot growth, but neither earthworms nor rhizobia significantly modified root biomass. The growth period was quite short in the present study, but a recent meta-analysis study showed an average 23% increase in above-ground plant biomass due to the presence of earthworms, largely through release of N from organic matter (van Groenigen et al., 2014).

Rates of root nodulation were only enhanced in the presence of _M. transalpinus_, where the number of nodules per plant was 3× higher than the control. In pots without rhizobial inoculation, there was also a significant amount of root nodulation, suggesting that the soil or plants already contained inocula. The source of this soil inoculant may have been small amounts of clover in the dairy farm ryegrass sward, or plants may have acquired inocula from the nursery. However, Tan et al. (2015) found that closely-related _Mesorhizobium_ type strains from other genera of legumes were unable to nodulate Sophora microphylla, which required its own specific type strains for nodulation. Genotypic data on rhizobia suggest co-evolution of rhizobial symbionts with Sophora in isolation from major areas of legume evolution has provided unique identities and novel characteristics (Tan et al., 2012). It is clear that there is significant interaction between earthworm species, plant growth and nodulation, but the complexity of these relationships has not been revealed in the present study.

### 4.3. Effects on soil biochemistry

Previous field studies elsewhere have shown that earthworms enhance N mineralization by reducing microbial immobilization, which may increase leaching losses of NO₃ (Blair et al., 1997; Domínguez et al., 2004). In the present study, _M. transalpinus_ had the largest influence on soil physicochemistry including soil pH, EC, and solubility of N. Over the 8 week period of this study little change could be expected in Total C and N concentrations in soil, but changes were detectable in these labile fractions. However, there was little apparent effect of the earthworms on mobile P in soil, even though this element is known to play a critical role in N fixation (Valentine et al., 2011; Vardien et al., 2016).

Dehydrogenase enzyme activity in soil was consistently lower with rhizobial inoculation but was enhanced by earthworms, particularly by _Maoridrilus_ spp. Dehydrogenases provide a measure of overall soil microbial activity and play a significant role in the biological oxidation of soil organic matter, but most of this enzyme is produced by anaerobic microorganisms and would be expected to be lower under aerobic conditions (Wolińska and Stepniewska, 2012). In the presence of native anecic species the bulk soil in the pots was certainly aerated through burrowing and disturbance (Brown et al., 2004; Lemtiri et al., 2014), probably providing better conditions for rhizobial development and nodulation. At the same time, when soil was removed from the pots, our observations indicated higher moisture in soil fractions that were obviously from drilosphere walls (Horn et al., 2006; Lemtiri et al., 2014); this may have provided locations of increase anaerobic conditions that are required for DHA production. This may indicate that aeration of soil by earthworms provides improved conditions for nodulation in the rhizosphere, but also localised anaerobic conditions that favour anaerobic microorganisms and intracellular DHA production. These changed soil conditions may begin to explain why rhizobial inoculation in combination with earthworms decreased microbial activity.

### 4.4. Effects on GHGs emission

Changed moisture conditions and aeration of soil may also be responsible for differences in N₂O production, which was generally reduced in the presence of earthworms (Fig. 5). Nitrification by aerobic, ammonia-oxidizing bacteria requires well-drained and aerated soils to produce nitrate from ammonium, as well as some nitrous oxide (Wrange et al., 2004; Barnard et al., 2005). However, denitrification of nitrate produces more nitrous oxide, but requires anaerobic conditions. Differences in N₂O production, with and without rhizobial inoculation, reflect the same patterns as DHA

---

**Fig. 6.** Tentative interpretation of the results of the mesocosm experiment, based on data for _Maoridrilus transalpinus_. Positive (+) and negative (−) effects of the earthworm and rhizobial-inoculant are indicated as solid and dashed lines with arrows, respectively.
except for pots with *M. transalpinus*. This species clearly had the most substantial influence on the results of the current experiment. It appears that, when there are more rhizobia, in more aerobic conditions, there is consequently less N₂O production. A higher level of burrowing activity appeared to provide aerobic conditions for higher nodulation that outweighed anaerobic conditions within drilosphere walls. This species consumed more organic matter, but increased aeration that provided more nitrate from its decomposition, but less conversion of NO₂ to N₂O. This differs to some previous studies that have shown increased N₂O production in the presence of earthworms in both laboratory and field conditions (Lubbers et al., 2013a,b).

4.5. Integration of findings

We found previously that individual species of earthworm could be separated on the basis of their modification of soil biogeochemistry, with differences particularly evident in terms of organic matter consumption, nitrogen and phosphorus mineralisation, soil microbial biomass and solubility of soil nutrients (Kim et al., 2015; Kim, 2016). Obviously this becomes more complex in the presence of plant roots. It has been shown previously that plant roots improve macroaggregate stability, which is decreased by earthworms (Millieret et al., 2009). The drilosphere provides numerous benefits for plants, including pathways for root elongation, increased aeration and enhanced supply of plant-available nutrients (Brown et al., 2000; Kautz et al., 2013).

The results of the present study showed significant interactions between native earthworms, *Sophora* and *N* dynamics. *M. transalpinus* produced considerable amounts of drilosphere soils in the pots, enhancing microbial activity. N solubility and nodulation. It appears that this species effectively dispersed rhizobia, increased aeration and facilitated more nodulation, in turn suppressing N₂O emissions.

Invasive exotic legumes are known to be capable of fixing up to 200 kg N ha⁻¹ annum⁻¹ in New Zealand (Magesan et al., 2012); an amount equivalent to current standard fertiliser applications in intensive agricultural land. Nitrate leaching does not appear to be higher under leguminous plants (Pattinson and Pattinson, 1985), but little is known of the *N*-fixing capacity of native legumes. Stands of invasive exotic gorse (*Ulex europaeus*) have been proven to have a role in restoration, providing nurse environments for native New Zealand plants (Burrows et al., 2015). However, this species has largely established on former agricultural land from which native earthworms have probably disappeared. The challenge remains to understand the role of both native legumes and native earthworms in the restoration trajectory, and their influence on both NO₃ and N₂O transfer to the wider environment.

The most desirable outcome would be to restore both native legumes and native earthworms into agricultural landscapes, whilst mitigating environmental concerns related to nitrogen. This would also have beneficial conservation outcomes. Results of the present study have demonstrated the interdependence between earthworms, root nodulation of *Sophora* and soil physicochemistry. Some combination of these factors mediates nitrogen cycling and influences the release of NO₃ and N₂O to the wider environment (Fig. 6). This work is a first step towards a better integrated understanding of the effects of plant growth, earthworm and microbial communities on N-cycling.
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